arXiv:hep-ph/0508122v1 10 Aug 2005

Expressions for Neutrino Wave Functions and Transition Probabilities at Three Neutrino Oscillations in Vacuum and Some of Their Applications

Beshtoev Kh. M.

Joint Institute for Nuclear Research., Joliot Curie 6, 141980 Dubna,
Moscow region and Institute of Applied Mathematics and Automation
KBSRC of RAS, Nalchik, Russia;

Abstract

We have considered three neutrino transitions and oscillations in the general case and obtained expressions for neutrino wave functions in three cases: with CP violation, without CP violations and the case when $\nu_e \leftrightarrow \nu_\tau$ transitions are absent (some works indicate on this possibility). Then using the existing experimental data some analysis has been fulfilled. This analysis definitely has shown that transitions $\nu_e \leftrightarrow \nu_\tau$ cannot be closed for the Solar neutrinos. However, this possibility may be realized by using the mechanism of resonance enhancement neutrino oscillations in matter (the Sun). But this possibility is not confirmed by the Solar neutrinos spectrum (the Solar neutrinos spectrum is not distorted) and the Day-Night effect (this effect is not observed). It was found out that the probability of $\nu_e \leftrightarrow \nu_e$ neutrino transitions is positive defined value only if the angle of ν_e, ν_τ mixing $\beta \leq 15^o \div 17^o$.

PACS numbers: 14.60.Pq; 14.60.Lm

1 Introduction

The suggestion that, in analogy with $K^o, \bar{K}^o$ oscillations, there could be neutrino-antineutrino oscillations ($\nu \to \bar{\nu}$) was considered by Pontecorvo [1] in 1957. It was subsequently considered by Maki et al. [2] and Pontecorvo [3] that there could be mixings (and oscillations) of neutrinos of different flavors (i.e., $\nu_e \to \nu_\mu$ transitions).

In the general case there can be two schemes (types) of neutrino mixings (oscillations): mass mixing schemes and charge mixings scheme (as it takes place in the vector dominance model or vector boson mixings in the standard model of electroweak interactions) [4].

In the Standard theory of neutrino oscillations [5] it is supposed that physically observed neutrino states ν_e, ν_μ, ν_τ have no definite masses and that they are directly created as mixture of the ν_1, ν_2, ν_3 neutrino states. However the computation has shown that these neutrinos have definite masses [4]. Then neutrino mixings are determined by the neutrino mass matrix and neutrino mixing parameters are expressed through elements of the neutrino mass matrix.

In the scheme of charge mixings the oscillation parameters are expressed through weak interaction couple constants (charges)and neutrino masses [4].

In the both cases the neutrino mixing matrix V can be given [4] in the following convenient form proposed by Maiani [6]:

$$V = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & c_\gamma & s_\gamma \\ 0 & -s_\gamma & c_\gamma \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_\beta & 0 & s_\beta \exp(-i\delta) \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -s_\beta \exp(i\delta) & 0 & c_\beta \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_\theta & s_\theta & 0 \\ -s_\theta & c_\theta & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad (1)$$

$$\begin{gathered} c_{e\mu} = \cos\theta, \quad s_{e\mu} = \sin\theta, \quad c^2_{e\mu} + s^2_{e\mu} = 1; \\ c_{e\tau} = \cos\beta, \quad s_{e\tau} = \sin\beta, \quad c^2_{e\tau} + s^2_{e\tau} = 1; \\ c_{\mu\tau} = \cos\gamma, \quad s_{\mu\tau} = \sin\gamma, \quad c^2_{\mu\tau} + s^2_{\mu\tau} = 1; \\ \exp(i\delta) = \cos\delta + i\sin\delta. \end{gathered} \qquad (2)$$

Now we will come to computation of neutrino wave functions $\Psi_{\nu_e}, \Psi_{\nu_\mu}, \Psi_{\nu_\tau}$ and a probability of transitions (oscillations) of these neutrinos.

2 General Expressions for Neutrino Wave Functions and Probabilities in Dependence on Time at Three Neutrino Transitions (Oscillations) in Vacuum

Using the above matrix V, we can connect the wave functions of physical neutrino states $\Psi_{\nu_e}, \Psi_{\nu_\mu}, \Psi_{\nu_\tau}$ with the wave functions of intermediate neutrino states $\Psi_{\nu_1}, \Psi_{\nu_2}, \Psi_{\nu_3}$ and write down it in a component-wise form [5]:

$$\Psi_{\nu_l} = \sum_{k=1}^{3} V^*_{\nu_l \nu_k} \Psi_{\nu_k},$$

$$\Psi_{\nu_k} = \sum_{k=l}^{3} V_{\nu_k \nu_l} \Psi_{\nu_l}, \qquad l = e, \mu, \tau, \qquad k = 1 \div 3, \tag{3}$$

where Ψ_{ν_k} is a wave function of neutrino with momentum p and mass m_k. We suppose that neutrino mixings (oscillations) are virtual if neutrinos have different masses (if we suppose that these transitions are real, as it is supposed in the standard theory of neutrino oscillations, then it is necessary to accept that expression (3) is based on a supposition that masses difference of ν_k neutrinos is so small that coherent neutrino states are formed in the weak interactions (computation has shown that this condition is not fulfilled, i.e. neutrino as wave packet is unstable and decays)).

$$\Psi_{\nu_k}(t) = e^{-iE_k t} \Psi_{\nu_k}(0). \tag{4}$$

Then

$$\Psi_{\nu_l(t)} = \sum_{k=1}^{3} e^{-iE_k t} V^*_{\nu_l \nu_k} \Psi_{\nu_k}(0). \tag{5}$$

Using unitarity of matrix V or expression (3) we can rewrite expression (5) in the following form:

$$\Psi_{\nu_l}(t) = \sum_{l'=e,\mu,\tau} \sum_{k=1}^{3} V_{\nu_{l'} \nu_k} e^{-iE_k t} V^*_{\nu_l \nu_k} \Psi_{\nu_{l'}(0)}, \tag{6}$$

and introducing symbol $b_{\nu_l \nu_{l'}}(t)$

$$b_{\nu_l \nu_{l'}}(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{3} V_{\nu_{l'} \nu_k} e^{-iE_k t} V^*_{\nu_l \nu_k}, \tag{7}$$

we obtain

$$\Psi_{\nu_l}(t) = \sum_{l'=e,\mu,\tau} b_{\nu_l \nu_{l'}}(t) \Psi_{\nu_{l'}}(0), \tag{8}$$

where $b_{\nu_l \nu_{l'}}(t)$-is the amplitude of transition probability $\Psi_{\nu_l} \to \Psi_{\nu_{l'}}$. And the corresponding transition probability $\Psi_{\nu_l} \to \Psi_{\nu_{l'}}$ is:

$$P_{\nu_l \nu_{l'}}(t) = \mid \sum_{k=1}^{3} V_{\nu_l' \nu_k} e^{-iE_k t} V^{*}_{\nu_l \nu_k} \mid^2 . \tag{9}$$

It is obvious that

$$\sum_{l'=e,\mu,\tau} P_{\nu_{l'} \nu_l}(t) = 1. \tag{10}$$

2.1 Expressions for Neutrino Wave Functions and Probability of $\nu_e, \nu_\mu, \nu_\tau \to \nu_e, \nu_\mu, \nu_\tau$ Transitions (Oscillations) with CP Violation in Vacuum

The wave functions of $\nu_e, \nu_\mu, \nu_\tau \to \nu_e, \nu_\mu, \nu_\tau$ transitions with CP violation have the following form:

1. for the case of $\nu_e \to \nu_e, \nu_\mu, \nu_\tau$ transitions:

$$\begin{gathered}
\Psi_{\nu_e \to \nu_e,\nu_\mu,\nu_\tau}(t) = [cos^2(\beta) cos^2(\theta) exp(-iE_1 t) + cos^2(\beta) sin^2(\theta) \\
exp(-iE_2 t) + sin^2(\beta) exp(-iE_3 t)]\Psi_{\nu_e}(0)+ \\
+[cos(\beta) cos(\theta) exp(-iE_1 t)(-cos(\gamma) sin(\theta)- \\
-sin(\beta) exp(-i\delta) sin(\gamma) cos(\theta))+ \\
+cos(\beta) sin(\theta) exp(-iE_2 t)(cos(\gamma) cos(\theta)- \\
-sin(\beta) exp(-i\delta) sin(\gamma) sin(\theta))+ \\
+sin(\beta) exp(-i\delta) exp(-iE_3 t) sin(\gamma) cos(\beta)]\Psi_{\nu_\mu}(0)+ \\
+[cos(\beta) cos(\theta) exp(-iE_1 t)(sin(\gamma) sin(\theta)- \\
-sin(\beta) exp(-i\delta) cos(\gamma) cos(\theta))+ \\
+cos(\beta) sin(\theta) exp(-iE_2 t)(-sin(\gamma) cos(\theta)- \\
-sin(\beta) exp(-i\delta) cos(\gamma) sin(\theta))+ \\
+sin(\beta) exp(-i\delta) exp(-iE_3 t) cos(\gamma) cos(\beta)]\Psi_{\nu_\tau}(0).
\end{gathered} \tag{11}$$

2. for the case of $\nu_\mu \to \nu_e, \nu_\mu, \nu_\tau$ transitions:

$$\begin{gathered}
\Psi_{\nu_\mu \to \nu_e,\nu_\mu,\nu_\tau}(t) = [(-sin(\gamma) sin(\beta) exp(i\delta) cos(\theta)- \\
-cos(\gamma) sin(\theta)) exp(-iE_1 t) cos(\beta) cos(\theta)+
\end{gathered}$$

$$\begin{gathered}
+(-sin(\gamma)sin(\beta)exp(i\delta)sin(\theta)+ \\
+cos(\gamma)cos(\theta))exp(-iE_2t)cos(\beta)sin(\theta)+ \\
+sin(\gamma)cos(\beta)exp(-iE_3t)sin(\beta)exp(i\delta)]\Psi_{\nu_e}(0)+ \\
+[(-sin(\gamma)sin(\beta)exp(i\delta)cos(\theta)- \\
-cos(\gamma)sin(\theta))exp(-iE_1t)(-cos(\gamma)sin(\theta)- \\
-sin(\beta)exp(-i\delta)sin(\gamma)cos(\theta))+ \\
+(-sin(\gamma)sin(\beta)exp(i\delta)sin(\theta)+ \\
+cos(\gamma)cos(\theta))exp(-iE_2t)(cos(\gamma)cos(\theta)- \\
-sin(\beta)exp(-i\delta)sin(\gamma)sin(\theta))+ \\
+sin^2(\gamma)cos^2(\beta)exp(-iE_3t)]\Psi_{\nu_\mu}(0)+ \\
+[(-sin(\gamma)sin(\beta)exp(i\delta)cos(\theta)- \\
-cos(\gamma)sin(\theta))exp(-iE_1t)(sin(\gamma)sin(\theta)- \\
-sin(\beta)exp(-i\delta)cos(\gamma)cos(\theta))+ \\
+(-sin(\gamma)sin(\beta)exp(i\delta)sin(\theta)+ \\
+cos(\gamma)cos(\theta))exp(-iE_2t)(-sin(\gamma)cos(\theta)- \qquad (12) \\
-sin(\beta)exp(-i\delta)cos(\gamma)sin(\theta))+ \\
+sin(\gamma)cos^2(\beta)exp(-iE_3t)cos(\gamma)]\Psi_{\nu_\tau}(0).
\end{gathered}$$

3. for the case of $\nu_\tau \rightarrow \nu_e, \nu_\mu, \nu_\tau$ transitions:

$$\begin{gathered}
\Psi_{\nu_\tau \rightarrow \nu_e,\nu_\mu,\nu_\tau}(t) = [(-cos(\gamma)sin(\beta)exp(i\delta)cos(\theta) + sin(\gamma)sin(\theta)) \\
exp(-iE_1t)cos(\beta)cos(\theta) + (-cos(\gamma)sin(\beta)exp(i\delta)sin(\theta)- \\
-sin(\gamma)cos(\theta))exp(-iE_2t)cos(\beta)sin(\theta)+ \\
+cos(\gamma)cos(\beta)exp(-iE_3t)sin(\beta)exp(i\delta)]\Psi_{\nu_e}(0)+ \\
+[(-cos(\gamma)sin(\beta)exp(i\delta)cos(\theta)+ \\
+sin(\gamma)sin(\theta))exp(-iE_1t)(-cos(\gamma)sin(\theta)- \\
-sin(\beta)exp(-i\delta)sin(\gamma)cos(\theta))+ \\
+(-cos(\gamma)sin(\beta)exp(i\delta)sin(\theta)-
\end{gathered}$$

$$\begin{gathered}
-sin(\gamma)cos(\theta))exp(-iE_2t)(cos(\gamma)cos(\theta)- \\
-sin(\beta)exp(-i\delta)sin(\gamma)sin(\theta))+ \\
+sin(\gamma)cos^2(\beta)exp(-iE_3t)cos(\gamma)]\Psi_{\nu_\mu}(0)+ \\
+[(-cos(\gamma)sin(\beta)exp(i\delta)cos(\theta)+ \\
+sin(\gamma)sin(\theta))exp(-iE_1t)(sin(\gamma)sin(\theta)- \\
-sin(\beta)exp(-i\delta)cos(\gamma)cos(\theta))+ \\
+(-cos(\gamma)sin(\beta)exp(i\delta)sin(\theta)- \\
-sin(\gamma)cos(\theta))exp(-iE_2t)(-sin(\gamma)cos(\theta)- \\
-sin(\beta)exp(-i\delta)cos(\gamma)sin(\theta))+ \\
+cos^2(\gamma)cos^2(\beta)exp(-iE_3t)]\Psi_{\nu_\tau}(0).
\end{gathered} \tag{13}$$

Now consider the case when the CP violation is absent.

2.2 Expressions for Neutrino Wave Functions and Probability of $\nu_e, \nu_\mu, \nu_\tau \to \nu_e, \nu_\mu, \nu_\tau$ Transitions (Oscillations) without CP Violation in Vacuum

If we do not take CP violation into account, then the expression for the amplitude of neutrino transitions has the following forms:

1. If primary neutrinos are ν_e neutrinos, then for this neutrino wave function for $\nu_e \to \nu_e$, $\nu_e \to \nu_\mu$, and $\nu_e \to \nu_\tau$ transitions we get

$$\begin{gathered}
\Psi_{\nu_e \to \nu_e, \nu_\mu, \nu_\tau}(t) = [cos^2(\beta)cos^2(\theta)exp(-iE_1t)+ \\
+cos^2(\beta)sin^2(\theta)exp(-iE_2t)+ \\
+sin^2(\beta)exp(-iE_3t)]\Psi_{\nu_e}(0)+ \\
+[cos(\beta)cos(\theta)exp(-iE_1t)(-sin(\gamma)sin(\beta)cos(\theta)- \\
-cos(\gamma)sin(\theta)) + cos(\beta)sin(\theta)exp(-iE_2t)(-sin(\gamma)sin(\beta)sin(\theta)+ \\
+cos(\gamma)cos(\theta)) + sin(\beta)exp(-iE_3t)sin(\gamma)cos(\beta)]\Psi_{\nu_\mu}(0) \\
+[cos(\beta)cos(\theta)exp(-iE_1t)(-cos(\gamma)sin(\beta)cos(\theta) + sin(\gamma)sin(\theta))+ \\
+cos(\beta)sin(\theta)exp(-iE_2t)(-cos(\gamma)sin(\beta)sin(\theta) - sin(\gamma)cos(\theta))+
\end{gathered} \tag{14}$$

$$+sin(\beta)exp(-iE_3t)cos(\gamma)cos(\beta)]\Psi_{\nu_\tau}(0).$$

2. For the case of $\nu_\mu \rightarrow \nu_e, \nu_\mu, \nu_\tau$ transitions we get

$$\begin{gathered}
\Psi_{\nu_\mu \rightarrow \nu_e, \nu_\mu, \nu_\tau}(t) = [cos(\beta)cos(\theta)exp(-iE_1t) \\
(-sin(\gamma)sin(\beta)cos(\theta) - cos(\gamma)sin(\theta))+ \\
+cos(\beta)sin(\theta)exp(-iE_2t)(-sin(\gamma)sin(\beta)sin(\theta) + cos(\gamma)cos(\theta))+ \\
+sin(\beta)exp(-iE_3t)sin(\gamma)cos(\beta)]\Psi_{\nu_e}(0)+ \\
+[(-sin(\gamma)sin(\beta)cos(\theta) - cos(\gamma)sin^2(\theta))exp(-iE_1t)+ \\
+(-sin(\gamma)sin(\beta)sin(\theta) + cos(\gamma)cos^2(\theta))exp(-iE_2t)+ \\
+sin^2(\gamma)cos^2(\beta)exp(-iE_3t)]\Psi_{\nu_\mu}(0)+ \\
[(-sin(\gamma)sin(\beta)cos(\theta) - cos(\gamma)sin(\theta))exp(-iE_1t) \\
(-cos(\gamma)sin(\beta)cos(\theta) + sin(\gamma)sin(\theta))+ \\
+(-sin(\gamma)sin(\beta)sin(\theta) + cos(\gamma)cos(\theta))exp(-iE_2t) \\
(-cos(\gamma)sin(\beta)sin(\theta) - sin(\gamma)cos(\theta)) + sin(\gamma)cos^2(\beta) \\
exp(-iE_3t)cos(\gamma)]\Psi_{\nu_\tau}(0).
\end{gathered} \tag{15}$$

3. For the case of $\nu_\tau \rightarrow \nu_e, \nu_\mu, \nu_\tau$ transitions we get

$$\begin{gathered}
\Psi_{\nu_\tau \rightarrow \nu_e, \nu_\mu, \nu_\tau}(t) = [cos(\beta)cos(\theta)exp(-iE_1t) \\
(-cos(\gamma)sin(\beta)cos(\theta) + sin(\gamma)sin(\theta)) + cos(\beta)sin(\theta)exp(-iE_2t) \\
(-cos(\gamma)sin(\beta)sin(\theta) - sin(\gamma)cos(\theta))+ \\
+sin(\beta)exp(-iE_3t)cos(\gamma)cos(\beta)]\Psi_{\nu_e}(0)+ \\
+[(-sin(\gamma)sin(\beta)cos(\theta) - cos(\gamma)sin(\theta))exp(-iE_1t) \\
(-cos(\gamma)sin(\beta)cos(\theta) + sin(\gamma)sin(\theta)) + (-sin(\gamma)sin(\beta)sin(\theta)+ \\
+cos(\gamma)cos(\theta))exp(-iE_2t)(-cos(\gamma)sin(\beta)sin(\theta) - sin(\gamma)cos(\theta))+ \\
+sin(\gamma)cos^2(\beta)exp(-iE_3t)cos(\gamma)]\Psi_{\nu_\mu}(0)+ \\
+[(-cos(\gamma)sin(\beta)cos(\theta) + sin(\gamma)sin(\theta))^2exp(-iE_1t)+ \\
+(-cos(\gamma)sin(\beta)sin(\theta) - sin(\gamma)cos(\theta))^2exp(-iE_2t)+ \\
+cos^2(\gamma)cos^2(\beta)exp(-iE_3t)]\Psi_{\nu_\tau}(0).
\end{gathered} \tag{16}$$

Probability of $\nu_e \to \nu_e$ neutrino transitions obtained from exp. (14) is given by the following expression:

$$P_{\nu_e\to\nu_e}(t) = 1 - cos^4(\beta)sin^2(2\theta)sin^2(-t(E_1 - E_2)/2)-$$
$$cos^2(\theta)sin^2(2\beta)sin^2(-t(E_1 - E_3)/2)- \qquad (17)$$
$$-sin^2(\theta)sin^2(2\beta)sin^2(-t(E_2 - E_3)/2).$$

Probability of $\nu_e \to \nu_\mu$ neutrino transitions obtained from exp. (14) is given by the following expression:

$$P_{\nu_e\to\nu_\mu}(t) = 4cos^2(\beta)cos(\theta)sin(\theta)[-sin(\gamma)sin(\beta)sin(\theta) + cos(\gamma)cos(\theta)]$$
$$[sin(\gamma)sin(\beta)cos(\theta) + cos(\gamma)sin(\theta)]sin^2(-t(E_1 - E_2)/2)- \qquad (18)$$
$$+4cos^2(\beta)sin(\beta)cos(\theta)sin(\gamma)[sin(\gamma)sin(\beta)cos(\theta) + cos(\gamma)sin(\theta)]$$
$$\cdot sin^2(-t(E_1-E_3)/2)-4cos^2(\beta)sin(\beta)sin(\theta)sin(\gamma)[-sin(\gamma)sin(\beta)sin(\theta)+$$
$$+cos(\gamma)cos(\theta)]sin^2(-t(E_2 - E_3)/2).$$

Probability of $\nu_e \to \nu_\tau$ neutrino transitions obtained from exp. (14) is given by the following expression:

$$P_{\nu_e\to\nu_\tau}(t) = 4cos^2(\beta)cos(\theta)sin(\theta)[-cos(\gamma)sin(\beta)cos(\theta)+ \qquad (19)$$
$$+sin(\gamma)sin(\theta)][cos(\gamma)sin(\beta)sin(\theta)+sin(\gamma)cos(\theta)]sin^2(-t(E_1-E_2)/2)-$$
$$-4cos^2(\beta)cos(\theta)sin(\beta)cos(\gamma)[-cos(\gamma)sin(\beta)cos(\theta) + sin(\gamma)sin(\theta)]$$
$$\cdot sin^2(-t(E_1-E_3)/2)+4cos^2(\beta)sin(\theta)sin(\beta)cos(\gamma)[cos(\gamma)sin(\beta)sin(\theta)+$$
$$+sin(\gamma)cos(\theta)]sin^2(-t(E_2 - E_3)/2).$$

Now we consider of neutrino wave functions and a probability of neutrino transitions at the absence of $\nu_e \to \nu_\tau$ transitions.

2.3 Expressions for Neutrino Wave Functions and Probability of $\nu_e, \nu_\mu, \nu_\tau \to \nu_e, \nu_\mu, \nu_\tau$ Transitions (Oscillations) in Vacuum at the Absence of $\nu_e \to \nu_\tau$ Transitions

If primary neutrinos are ν_e neutrinos and there are no transitions between ν_e and ν_τ neutrinos, i.e., these transitions are closed, then there only $\nu_e \to$

ν_e, $\nu_e \to \nu_\mu$, and $\nu_\mu \to \nu_\tau$ after $\nu_e \to \nu_\mu$ transitions can exist. Then the amplitude of these transitions has the following form:

$$\Psi_{\nu_e \to \nu_e, \nu_\mu, \nu_\tau}(t) = [cos^2(\theta) exp(-iE_1 t) + sin^2(\theta) exp(-iE_2 t)]\Psi_{\nu_e}(0) + \quad (20)$$

$$+[-cos(\theta) sin(\theta) cos(\gamma) exp(-iE_1 t) + cos(\theta) sin(\theta) cos(\gamma) exp(-iE_1 t)]\Psi_{\nu_\mu}(0) +$$

$$cos(\theta) sin(\theta) sin(\gamma)[exp(-iE_1) - exp(-iE_2)]\Psi_{\nu_\tau}(0).$$

And probabilities of these neutrino transitions (oscillations) are described by the following expressions (in reality after transitions $\nu_e \to \nu_\mu$ there must be transitions between $\nu_\mu \to \nu_\tau$):

for $\nu_e \to \nu_e$:

$$P(\nu_e \to \nu_e, t) = 1 - sin^2(2\theta)[cos^2(2\gamma) + sin^2(2\gamma)] sin^2(L/L_{12}). \quad (21)$$

for $\nu_e \to \nu_\mu$:

$$P(\nu_e \to \nu_\mu, t) = 1 - sin^2(2\theta) cos^2(2\gamma) sin^2(L/L_{12}). \quad (22)$$

for $\nu_e \to \nu_\tau$:

$$P(\nu_e \to \nu_\tau, t) = 1 - sin^2(2\theta) sin^2(2\gamma) sin^2(L/L_{12}), \quad (23)$$

where

$$L_{ik}(m) = 1.27 \frac{E_{\nu_e}(MeV)}{|m_i^2 - m_k^2|(eV^2)} \qquad L = ct, \quad (24)$$

E_{ν_e}- is energy of primary neutrino and $E_k = \sqrt{m_k^2 + p_{\nu_e}^2} \simeq p_{\nu_e} + \frac{m_k^2}{p_{\nu_e}}$, $i, k = 1 \div 3$.

If primary neutrinos are ν_μ neutrinos and there are no transitions between ν_e and ν_τ neutrinos, then

$$\Psi_{\nu_\mu \to \nu_e, \nu_\mu, \nu_\tau}(t) = [-cos(\theta) exp(-itE_1) cos(\gamma) sin(\theta) +$$

$$+sin(\theta) exp(-itE_2) cos(\gamma) cos(\theta)]\Psi_{\nu_e}(0) + [cos^2(\gamma) sin^2(\theta) exp(-itE_1) +$$

$$+cos^2(\gamma) cos^2(\theta) exp(-itE_2) + sin^2(\gamma) exp(-itE_3)]\Psi_{\nu_\mu}(0) + \quad (25)$$

$$[-cos(\gamma) sin^2(\theta) exp(-itE_1) sin(\gamma) - cos(\gamma) cos^2(\theta) exp(-itE_2) sin(\gamma) +$$

$$sin(\gamma) exp(-itE_3) cos(\gamma)]\Psi_{\nu_\tau}(0).$$

If primary neutrinos are ν_τ neutrinos, there are no transitions between ν_e and ν_τ neutrinos

$$\begin{gathered}
\Psi_{\nu_\tau \to \nu_e, \nu_\mu, \nu_\tau}(t) = [cos(\theta) exp(-itE_1) sin(\gamma) sin(\theta) - \\
- sin(\theta) exp(-itE_2) sin(\gamma) cos(\theta)] \Psi_{\nu_e}(0) + \\
+ [-cos(\gamma) sin^2(\theta) exp(-itE_1) sin(\gamma) - \\
- cos(\gamma) cos^2(\theta) exp(-itE_2) sin(\gamma) + sin(\gamma) exp(-itE_3) cos(\gamma)] \Psi_{\nu_\mu}(0) + \\
[sin^2(\gamma) sin^2(\theta) exp(-itE_1) + \\
sin^2(\gamma) cos^2(\theta) exp(-itE_2) + cos^2(\gamma) exp(-itE_3)] \Psi_{\nu_\tau}(0).
\end{gathered} \tag{26}$$

3 Some Analysis of Neutrino Oscillation Possibilities

The value of the Solar neutrinos flow measured (through elastic scattering) on SNO [7] is in a good agreement with the same value measured in Super-Kamiokande [8].

Ratio of ν_e flow measured on SNO (CC) to the same flow computed in the frame work of SSM [9] ($E_\nu > 6.0 MeV$) is:

$$\frac{\phi^{CC}_{SNO}}{\phi_{SSM2000}} = 0.35 \pm 0.02. \tag{27}$$

This value is in a good agreement with the same value of ν_e relative neutrinos flow measured on Homestake (CC) [10] for energy threshold $E_\nu = 0,814 MeV$.

$$\frac{\Phi^{exp}}{\Phi^{SSM2000}} = 0.34 \pm 0.03. \tag{28}$$

From these data we can come to a conclusion that the angle mixing for the Sun ν_e neutrinos does not depend on neutrino energy thresholds ($0.8 \div 15$ MeV). Now it is necessary to know the value of this angle mixing $\theta_{\nu_e \nu_\mu}$. Estimation of the value of this angle can be extracted from KamLAND [11] data and it is:

$$sin^2 \theta_{\nu_e \nu_\mu} \cong 1.0, \quad \theta \cong \frac{\pi}{4}, \quad \Delta m^2_{12} = 6.9 \cdot 10^{-5} eV, \tag{29}$$

or

$$sin^2 \theta_{\nu_e \nu_\mu} \cong 0.83, \quad \theta = 32^o, \quad \Delta m^2_{12} = 8.3 \cdot 10^{-5} eV.$$

The angle mixing for vacuum $\nu_\mu \to \nu_\tau$ transitions obtained on Super-Kamiokande [12] for atmospheric neutrinos is:

$$sin^2 2\gamma_{\nu_\mu \nu_\tau} \cong 1, \quad \gamma \cong \frac{\pi}{4} \quad \Delta m^2_{23} = 2.1 \div 2.5 \cdot 10^{-3}. \tag{30}$$

Now we can estimate the third angle mixing for $\nu_e \to \nu_\tau$ transitions by using exp. (17). For this aim we average the time dependence of exp. (17) taking into account that the Earth is moving over the elliptic orbit and then $(\bar{sin}^2(-t(E_i - E_j)/2) = 1/2, \quad i, j = 1, 2, 3)$

$$\bar{P}_{\nu_e \to \nu_e} = 1 - \frac{1}{2}[cos^4(\beta) sin^2(2\theta) + cos^2(\theta) sin^2(2\beta) + sin^2(\theta) sin^2(2\beta)] =$$

$$= 1 - \frac{1}{2}[cos^4(\beta) sin^2(2\theta) + sin^2(2\beta)]. \tag{31}$$

By substituting the value of $sin^2(2\theta)$ in (31) from exp. (29) and the value of $\bar{P}_{\nu_e \to \nu_e}$ from exps. (27), (28) we get

$$1.30 \simeq [cos^4(\beta) + sin^2(2\beta)]. \tag{32}$$

From the above expression we can come to conclusion that

$$\beta \le \pi/4, \tag{33}$$

i.e., this angle is close to the maximal angle $\pi/4$.

If we suppose that $\nu_e \to \nu_\tau$ transitions are closed, then we can use exp. (21) to estimate angle β. For this aim we average the time dependence of exp. (21) taking into account that the Earth is moving over the elliptic orbit, then

$$\bar{P}(\nu_e \to \nu_e, t) = 1 - \frac{1}{2} sin^2(2\theta). \tag{34}$$

By substituting the value of $sin^2(2\theta)$ from exp. (29) in (34) we get

$$\bar{P}(\nu_e \to \nu_e, t) \simeq \frac{1}{2}, \tag{35}$$

we come to a contradiction with exp (27), (28), i.e. with experiments, but this contradiction can be removed by using the mechanism of resonance enhancement of neutrino oscillations in matter [8]. But this possibility is not confirmed by the Solar neutrinos spectrum (the Solar neutrinos spectrum is not distorted) and Day-Night effect (this effect is not observed). Unlikely it is possible to obtain a flat neutrinos energy spectrum without distortion in broad energy region $E = 1 \div 15 MeV$ by using this mechanism.

It is also detected that using exp. (17) we can obtain limitation on value of angle β. For this purpose we have fulfilled graphical modelling of this function by using the following values for [11] $\theta = 32.45^o, \Delta m^2_{12}$, and [12] Δm^2_{23} from exprs. (29), (30) for different values of $\beta = 10^o \div 45^o$ and $\Delta m^2_{13} = 10^{-5} eV^2, \quad 5.7 \cdot 10^{-5} eV^2, \quad 8.3 \cdot 10^{-4} eV^2$ at the average electron neutrino energy $\bar{E}_{\nu_e} = 7 MeV$ and established that the value for $P(\nu_e \to \nu_e, t)$ become a positive defined value at $\beta = 15^o \div 17^o$ ($P(\nu_e \to \nu_e, t) \simeq 0$ at some values of t). If $\beta \geq 15^o \div 17^o$, then $P(\nu_e \to \nu_e, t)$ becomes negative at some values of t. Since the value for the probability of $\nu_e \leftrightarrow \nu_e$ transitions $P(\nu_e \to \nu_e, t)$ must be positive defined one then, if in reality neutrino oscillations take place, the value for β must be $\beta \leq 15^o \div 17^o$.

4 Conclusion

We have considered three neutrino transitions and oscillations in the general case and obtained expressions for neutrino wave functions in three cases: with CP violation, without CP violations and the case when $\nu_e \leftrightarrow \nu_\tau$ transitions are absent (some works indicate on this possibility). Then using the existing experimental data some analysis has been fulfilled. This analysis definitely has shown that transitions $\nu_e \leftrightarrow \nu_\tau$ cannot be closed for the Solar neutrinos. However, this possibility may be realized by using the mechanism of resonance enhancement neutrino oscillations in matter (the Sun). But this possibility is not confirmed by the Solar neutrinos spectrum (the Solar neutrinos spectrum is not distorted) and the Day-Night effect (this effect is not observed). It was found out that the probability of $\nu_e \leftrightarrow \nu_e$ neutrino transitions is positive defined value only if the angle of ν_e, ν_τ mixing $\beta \leq 15^o \div 17^o$.

References

1. Pontecorvo B. M., Soviet Journ. JETP, 1957, v. 33, p.549;
   JETP, 1958, v.34, p.247.
2. Maki Z. et al., Prog.Theor. Phys., 1962, vol.28, p.870.
3. Pontecorvo B. M., Soviet Journ. JETP, 1967, v. 53, p.1717.
4. Beshtoev Kh. M., JINR Communication E2-2004-58, Dubna, 2004;
   hep-ph/0406124, 2004.
5. Bilenky S.M., Pontecorvo B.M., Phys. Rep., C41(1978)225;
   Boehm F., Vogel P., Physics of Massive Neutrinos: Cambridge
   Univ. Press, 1987, p.27, p.121;
   Bilenky S.M., Petcov S.T., Rev. of Mod. Phys., 1977, v.59,
   p.631.
   Gribov V., Pontecorvo B.M., Phys. Lett. B, 1969, vol.28, p.493.
6. Maiani L., Proc. Intern. Symp. on Lepton–Photon Interaction,
   DESY, Hamburg. P.867.
7. Ahmad Q. R. et al., Internet Pub. nucl-ex/0106015, June 2001.
   Ahmad Q. R. et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 2002, v. 89, p.011301-1;
   Phys. Rev. Lett. 2002,v. 89, p.011302-1.
8. Fukuda S. et al., Phys Rev. Lett., 2001, v.86, p.5651;
   Phys. Lett.B, 2002, v.539 p.179.
   Koshio Y. (Super-Kamiokande Collab.), Proc. of 28-th Intern.
   Cosmic Ray Conf., Japan, 2003, v.1, p.1225.
9. Bahcall D. et al., The Astrophysical Jour. 2001, v.555, p.990.
10. Davis R., Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys., 1994, v.32, p.13
11. Eguchi K. et al., Phys. Rev. Let. 2003, v.90, 021802;
    Mitsui T., 28-th Intern. Cosmic Ray Conf., Japan, 2003, v.1 p.1221.
12. Habig A., Proceedings of Inter. Cosmic Ray Conf., Japan, 2003,
    v.1, p. 1255;
    Kearns Ed. Super-Kamiokande Collaboration, Report on Intern. Conf.
    Neutrino 2004, Paris, 2004.